

Douglas Dawson Guy
Gates O'Doherty Gontier and Guy
11545 West Bernardo Court
Suite 305
San Diego, CA 92127-1613

08CV428-JAH(NLS)


DISTRICT COURT
ICT OF CALIFORNIA

THE CLERK
REET, SUITE 4290
ORNIA 92101-8900

BUSINESS

RETURN SERVICE REQUESTED


CLASS AUTO



049J82045251

\$00.459

03/07/2008



921 N7E 1 9071 72 03/10/08
RETURN TO SENDER
:GATES ODOHERTY GONTIER AND GUY LLP
15373 INNOVATION DR STE 170
SAN DIEGO CA 92128-3427

RETURN TO SENDER

921 N7E 1 9071 72 03/10/08

11545 West Bernardo Court
Suite 305
San Diego, CA 92127-1613

Other Orders/Judgments

3:08-cv-00428-JAH-NLS Rinaldi v. Porsche Cars North America, Inc et al

U.S. District Court**Southern District of California****Notice of Electronic Filing**

The following transaction was entered on 3/7/2008 at 9:49 AM PST and filed on 3/7/2008

Case Name: Rinaldi v. Porsche Cars North America, Inc et al

Case Number: 3:08-cv-428

Filer:

Document Number: 2

Docket Text:

NOTICE and ORDER setting Early Neutral Evaluation for 4/15/2008 02:00 PM in Courtroom F before Magistrate Judge Nita L. Stormes. Signed by Judge Nita L. Stormes on 03/07/08. (jpp)

3:08-cv-428 Notice has been electronically mailed to:

3:08-cv-428 Notice has been delivered by other means to:

Douglas Dawson Guy
Gates O'Doherty Gonter and Guy
11545 West Bernardo Court
Suite 305
San Diego, CA 92127-1613

Jonathan D McCue
McCue and McCue
600 West Broadway
Suite 2880
San Diego, CA 92101

The following document(s) are associated with this transaction:

Document description:Main Document

Original filename:n/a

Electronic document Stamp:

[STAMP dcecfStamp_ID=1106146653 [Date=3/7/2008] [FileNumber=2462479-0]
[be68cf4fe730c7b7647c22b0c1bc489678c292cbdee3a66df7e1ee6b213c521b0873
989ac9761a858255c6f3ca212e4be678f30f8cc361d96770cd535d4d8f3a]]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JOHN RINALDI,

Plaintiff,

v.

PORSCHE CARS NORTH AMERICA,
INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Civil No.08cv428-JAH(NLS)

**NOTICE AND ORDER SETTING
EARLY NEUTRAL EVALUATION
CONFERENCE**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that an Early Neutral Evaluation of your case will be held on April 15, 2008 at 2:00 p.m. in the Chambers of Magistrate Judge Nita L. Stormes, United States Courthouse, 940 Front Street, Room 1118, San Diego, California 92101.

The following are mandatory guidelines for the parties preparing for the Early Neutral Evaluation Conference.

1. **Purpose of Conference:** The purpose of the Early Neutral Evaluation Conference ("ENE") is to permit an informal discussion between the attorneys, parties, and the settlement judge of every aspect of the lawsuit in an effort to achieve an early resolution of the case. All conference discussions will be informal, off the record, privileged and confidential. Counsel for any non-English speaking parties is responsible for arranging for the appearance of an interpreter at the conference.
2. **Personal Appearance of Parties Is Required:** All parties, adjusters for insured defendants, and other representatives of a party having full and complete authority to enter into a binding settlement, and the principal attorneys responsible for the litigation, must be present in person

1 and legally and factually prepared to discuss settlement of the case. Full authority to settle means that
 2 the individuals at the ENE be authorized to fully explore settlement options and to agree at that time to
 3 any settlement terms acceptable to the parties. *Heileman Brewing Co., Inc. v. Joseph Oat Corp.*, 871
 4 F.2d 648, 653 (7th Cir. 1989). The person needs to have “unfettered discretion and authority” to change
 5 the settlement position of a party. *Pitman v. Brinker Int’l, Inc.*, 216 F.R.D. 481, 485-486 (D. Ariz.
 6 2003). One of the purposes of requiring a person with unlimited settlement authority to attend the
 7 conference is that the person’s view of the case may be altered during the face-to-face conference.
 8 *Pitman*, 216 F.R.D. at 486. Limited or sum certain authority is not adequate. *Nick v. Morgan’s Foods,*
 9 *Inc.*, 270 F.3d 590, 595-597 (8th Cir. 2001). Counsel appearing without their clients (whether or not
 10 counsel has been given settlement authority) will be cause for immediate imposition of sanctions and
 11 will also result in the immediate termination of the conference.

12 3. **Full Settlement Authority Required:** In addition to counsel who will try the case, a
 13 party or party representative with full settlement authority must be present for the conference. In the
 14 case of a corporate entity, an authorized representative of the corporation who is not retained outside
 15 counsel must be present and must have discretionary authority to commit the company to pay an amount
 16 up to the amount of the plaintiff’s prayer (excluding punitive damage prayers). The purpose of this
 17 requirement is to have representatives present who can settle the case during the course of the
 18 conference without consulting a superior. Counsel for a government entity may be excused from this
 19 requirement so long as the government attorney who attends the ENE conference (1) has primary
 20 responsibility for handling the case; and (2) may negotiate settlement offers which the attorney is
 21 willing to recommend to the government official having ultimate settlement authority.

22 4. **Confidential ENE Statements Required:** No later than three (3) court days prior to the
 23 ENE, the parties shall submit confidential statements of five pages or less directly to the chambers of
 24 Magistrate Judge Stormes outlining the nature of the case, the claims, and the defenses. These
 25 statements shall not be filed or served on opposing counsel.

26 5. **New Parties Must Be Notified by Plaintiff’s Counsel:** Plaintiff’s counsel shall give
 27 notice of the ENE to parties responding to the complaint after the date of this notice.

28 ///

6. **Case Management Under the Amended Federal Rules:** In the event the case does not settle at the ENE, the parties can expect to leave the ENE with Rule 26 compliance dates or deadlines. Parties shall therefore be prepared to discuss the following matters at the conclusion of the ENE conference:

- a. Any anticipated objections under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(1)(E) to the initial disclosure provisions of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(1)(A-D);
- b. The scheduling of the Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(f) conference within 24 days following the ENE;
- c. The date of initial disclosure and the date for lodging the discovery plan within 14 days following the Rule 26(f) conference; and,
- d. The scheduling of a Case Management Conference pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16(b) within 21 days following the Rule 26(f) conference.

The Court will issue an order following the ENE addressing these issues and setting dates as appropriate.


7. **Requests to Continue an ENE Conference:** Local Rule 16.1(c) requires that an ENE take place within 45 days of the filing of the first answer. Requests to continue ENEs are rarely granted. However, the Court will consider formal, written *ex parte* requests to continue an ENE conference when extraordinary circumstances exist that make a continuance appropriate. In and of itself, having to travel a long distance to appear in person is not "extraordinary." **Absent extraordinary circumstances, requests for continuances will not be considered *unless* submitted in *writing* no less than seven (7) days prior to the scheduled conference.**

Questions regarding this case or the mandatory guidelines set forth herein may be directed to the Magistrate Judge's law clerks at (619) 557-5391.

A Notice of Right to Consent to Trial Before a United States Magistrate Judge is attached for your information.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: March 7, 2008


Hon. Nita L. Stormes
U.S. Magistrate Judge

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO CONSENT TO TRIAL
BEFORE A UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROVISIONS OF 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), YOU ARE
HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT A U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE OF THIS DISTRICT MAY, UPON THE
CONSENT OF ALL PARTIES, ON FORM 1A AVAILABLE IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE, CONDUCT
ANY OR ALL PROCEEDINGS, INCLUDING A JURY OR NON-JURY TRIAL, AND ORDER THE
ENTRY OF A FINAL JUDGMENT. COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFF SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE
FOR OBTAINING THE CONSENT OF ALL PARTIES, SHOULD THEY DESIRE TO CONSENT.

YOU SHOULD BE AWARE THAT YOUR DECISION TO CONSENT OR NOT
TO CONSENT IS ENTIRELY VOLUNTARY. ONLY IF ALL PARTIES CONSENT WILL THE
JUDGE OR MAGISTRATE JUDGE TO WHOM THE CASE HAS BEEN ASSIGNED BE
INFORMED OF YOUR DECISION.

JUDGMENTS OF THE U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGES ARE APPEALABLE TO
THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS STATUTE AND THE FEDERAL
RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE.